## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: Gayle A. Bush Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 3300 WRITTEN OPINION OF THE Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing 22 FEB 2007 (day/month/year) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below 093144-9030 WO International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. PCT/US05/31248 01 September 2005 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(8) - F42B 39/14 (2007.01) USPC - 250/506.1, 515.1; 86/50 Applicant VULCAN LEAD, INC. 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion Box No. I Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Lack of unity of invention Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis. 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; Box No. V citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited Box No. VI Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Date of completion of this opinion

11 January 2007

Authorized officer:

PCT Helpdesk: 571-272-4300 PCT OSP: 571-272-7774

Blaine Copenheaver

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents

Facsimile No. 571-273-3201

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US05/31248

Box	No.	I	Basis of this o	pinion						
,	w	h	gard to the lane.	lage, this onini	on has been	established on the	basis of:			
1.	WIT X	-	_			in which it was file				
	台	]	a translation of the	he internationa	l application	into		, which	is the langua	ige of a
			translation furnis	shed for the pu	rposes of inte	ernational search (	Kules 12.3(a) ai	na 23.1(b)).		
2.	Wi	th r	egard to any nucl	leotide and/or	· amino acid	sequence disclos	ed in the intern	ational applicat	tion and necessa	ary to the
			d invention, this o	opinion nas bet	Signished	on are basis of:				
	a.	typ [	e of material a sequence li	'etina		• •				
			_	isting ted to the seque	mee lietine		•			
		Ц.	_ unie(s) relat	en to tus sedne	льс изинд	٠	•			
	h	fo-	mat of material			•	•	• .		
	u.	101	7							•
	•	片	on paper in electronic	form						
		_	T merconomic				1			
			ne of 61ina/6	ing.					•	
	C.	an	ne of filing/furnish	ung 1 the internation	ial amilication	n as filed		•		
•		H				n as med lication in electron	ic form			
		는							• ′	
		<u></u>	rurnished su	iosequently to	ans Anthonit	y for the purposes	, or soutoff		•	
3.	L.		filed or furnishe in the applicatio	ed the required	statements th	ersion or copy of a nat the information yond the application	in the subseque	ent or additional	i copies is identi	cal to that
4.	Ad	lditi	onal comments:	•	*					
					٠					•
								•		
			•							
									•	
					•					
				•						
				•						
		•								
		·							•	
									•	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/31248

	citations and explanation	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Statement							
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-42	YES				
		Claims	None	NO				
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	None	YES				
		Claims	1-42	NO NO				
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-42	YES				
	industrial approaching (1-5)	Claims	None	NO				

## 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-42 lack(s) an Inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Rogers et al. (3,820,435) in view of Mussman (5,883,394).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 13, 14, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26, 29-31, 36, 39 and 40, Rogers et al. disclose a device containment vessel substantially as claimed as shown in Figures 1-4 and 8 to reduce the exposure to radioactive material (col.1,lines 16-31) shielded by a panel shaped to complement a contour of the vessel. However, Rogers et al does not disclose a plurality of panels with overlapping seams or the radiation material formed of lead and stainless steel. Mussman teaches, in the analogous field of radiation containment, a plurality of panels with overlapping seams (as shown in Fig.1) along with the radiation material formed of lead and stainless steel (col.8,lines 40-47) both as an alternative radiation shielding material and panel configuration. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Rogers et al's panel to consist of a plurality of panels with overlapping seams along with the radiation material formed of lead and stainless steel, both as an alternative radiation shielding material and panel configuration taught by Mussman.

Regarding claims 2, 3, 15, 17, 27, 28, 41 and 42 wherein the panels are arranged to surround an exterior surface of the vessel and wherein the panels are positioned adjacent an interior surface of the vessel (Rogers et al., top of Fig.3, "2").

Regarding claims 4, 12, 21, 32 and 37, further comprising an end cap formed of radiation shielding material, the end cap positioned at one end of the plurality of panels to cap an opening formed at the end of the plurality of panels and further comprising a door, the door shield coupled to an exterior surface of the door (Rogers et al., Fig.2, "4").

Regarding claims 5-7, 16, 24 and 33-35, further comprising a frame wherein the vessel is at least partially disposed within the frame and the panels are coupled to the frame and wherein the frame is mounted to the vessel and wherein the vessel is supported by a base and the frame is mounted to the base (Rogers et al., Fig.1).

In regard to claims 8, 10, 18, 25 and 38, and further comprising a supplemental shield formed of a radiation shielding material and selectively coupled to the frame, the supplemental shield being positioned relative to the plurality of panels wherein the plurality of panels are positioned between the supplemental shield and the vessel (Rogers et al, Figs.1-2, "24").

Regarding claim 9, the use of lead wool blanket is disclosed in Mussman (col.2,lines 12-15) as an obvious shield material. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Rogers et al's supplemental shield to include a lead wool blanket, as taught by Mussman, to provide a cost effective, readily available material for the supplemental shield.

Claims 1-42 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.